IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH

AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF APRIL

TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT:

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY

And

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE C.V. RAMULU

WRIT PETITION No.9076 of 2005

Between:

Wife of M. Venkat Reddy

Vanasthalipuram, Ranga Reddy District.

.. Petitioner

AND

1. The Station House Officer, Annasagar Police Station,

Mahaboobnagar District.

2. The Superintendent of Police, Mahabubnagar District,

at Mahabubnagar.

.. Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an Order, direction, or a writ, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Habeas Corpus directing the Respondents herein to produce the husband of the petitioner herein, viz., M. Venkat Reddy, before this Hon'ble Court, who was illegally taken away by the Police Personnel of Annasagar Police Station, Mahabubnagar District 5 days back, and set him at liberty, forthwith and to pass other or further orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: TELEGRAM.

Counsel for the Respondents: THE ADVOCATE GENEAL

The Court made the following:

ORDER:(Per Hon'ble Sri Justice B. Sudershan Reddy)

The alleged detenu M. Venkat Redy, according to the averments made in the affidavit filed by the Station House Officer, Annasagar Police Station, Mahabubnagar District, is required in connection with the investigation of the case in Crime No.40 of 2005 registered against him under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code on 10-04-2005. It is stated that the alleged detenu and other accused required in the said case are absconding. Investigation is under progress.

It appears that apprehending the arrest, the alleged detenu's wife sent this telegram addressed to this Court seeking intervention of this Court.

We find no merit in this writ petition and the same shall accordingly stand dismissed. It is needless to direct the respondents that immediately after the arrest of the alleged detenu, he shall be produced before the learned Magistrate concerned, as is required in law.

B. SUDERSHAN REDDY, J

Date:28-04-2005.

C.V. RAMULU, J

PV

To

1. The Station House Officer, Annasagar Police Station,

Mahabubnagar District.

2. The Superintendent of Police, Mahabubnagar District,

at Mahabubnagar.

3. The Officer concerned, Public Interest Litigation,

High Court Buildings, Hyderabad.

4. The Member Secretary, A.P. State Legal Advisory Board,

High Court Buildings, Hyderabad.

5. The Secretary, Government of Andhra Pradesh,

Home (Police) Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

6. Two C.Cs. to the Advocate General, High Court Bldgs.,

Hyderabad (O.U.T.).

7. Two C.D. copies.